

WHAT DO YOU THINK ABOUT IT?

VIEWS ON TIMELY TOPICS.

[THE WASHINGTON HERALD will give space in its Monday issue each week to the views of its readers on subjects of general interest. Communications must bear the names of the writers and should not exceed 300 words in length. The publication of such matter does not necessarily imply that the opinions expressed are shared by this paper.]

In Behalf of the Salespeople.

Editor The Washington Herald:

Through the columns of your paper I hope to bring to the attention of an intelligent public one particular class of the overworked of our city. The class in question is composed of the employees of the department stores, who are required to be on their feet ten hours five days in each week, and almost if not quite fourteen hours on Saturday. And why these long hours? Simply this: We, the purchasing public, who finish our week's work on Saturday at from 1 to 4 o'clock, like to go home, refresh ourselves, have a good dinner, take a stroll to the park, and then, about 8:30 or 9 o'clock, like to go through the stores to make our purchases, which could be done just as well prior to 5 o'clock, seemingly utterly regardless of the fact that the people who are serving us have already put in almost two days' work.

It is not the merchants who encourage or want this state of affairs to exist. The fact of the matter is that custom, and a very selfish custom at that, has made it the practice. It is the people, who, through utter lack of thought or regard for the comfort of their fellow-beings, persist and continue in the practice of Saturday night shopping.

How would the people in the service of the government relish the idea of having even one hour added to their day's work? Why, they would put up a strenuous kick and claim they were being shamefully overworked, and still then very people insist on the keeping open that they may do their shopping just when convenient to them.

The ten-hour day is not so bad, for with July and August all establishments of any size adopt the 5 o'clock closing; but Saturday remains the same. It is not human, to say the least, to have these people in a hot, electric-lighted building for fourteen consecutive hours simply because we don't feel like going, or sending for some little thing we need for Sunday until a late hour Saturday night, after all of our own comforts have been attended to. It is our humane and Christian duty to do unto others as we would that they should do unto us. Let us make practical the Golden Rule by making up our minds to do no buying later than 6 o'clock on Saturday. Such a very small sacrifice for each one, but such a relief for thousands of overworked salespeople in our city.

I would like to have the expression of others on this subject. I sincerely hope that the public will take this matter up and that the result hoped for may be speedily attained. I for one pledge myself to buy nothing after 6 o'clock.

THOS. H. MELTON.

127 F street northwest, Washington, D. C.

The Enlisted Man and the Uniform.

Editor The Washington Herald:

Having been at one time a wearer of the uniform, an enlisted man in the army, serving my country in the Cuban and Philippine campaigns, I thank you for the frankness of your article in your editorial of last Wednesday's Herald, entitled "Enlistments in the Army." I honestly concur in every word you say, and feel that every enlisted man and former soldier of both the regular and volunteer branches who may read the article—and I would suggest that they all read it—will agree that you voice the sentiments of the soldiers, their families, and all patriotic Americans.

There can be found no better class of men in the United States than the enlisted men in the army and navy, and as they are part of the great mass of American citizens, they should be considered as such in social as well as other circles.

Without the men in uniform from 1861-65, we would have no "land of the free and home of the brave," and, again, without the men in uniform in 1898, we would not to-day be recognized by the powers of the earth as the great world power among the nations. The uniform of the enlisted man, like Old Glory, should be at all times and by all means, the highest respect, and any person desecrating either by insult or otherwise, should be dealt with accordingly.

WILLIAM A. HICKEY.

136 V street northwest, Washington, D. C.

The Clay Barbecue, 1842.

Editor The Washington Herald:

Being a native of the so-called "dark and bloody ground," whenever I read an article about Kentucky, especially if it purports to be historical, but is not, I cannot refrain from criticizing it. In your issue of the 15th ultimo Mr. Frederic J. Haskin writes of the State of Kentucky. In the main his sketches, so far as I am able to state, are passably correct, but when he says—

"At Lexington one can still see the trenches where 1,000 sheep and 500 hogs were butchered for the great Clay rally in 1842, which was attended by more than 50,000 people, the hogs coming on horseback for 20 miles to be present—"

I am astounded, not so much at the greatly exaggerated figures as the fact that at Lexington in 1842 there was no barbecue at all. Had he fixed it in the year 1842 it might have been excused as a "lapses penman." But as it stands, he will pardon me if I compare it to Aesop's fables and some of Munchausen's best stories. Moreover, we rarely ever, if at all, barbecue hogs, but pigs and shoats are choice animals. Neither do we barbecue sheep as designated. They must be lambs and wethers specially fitted for the table.

It was in the leafy month of June that there was held a barbecue, called the Clay festival, the grandest, most generous, and most notable ever given in honor of any man. Henry Clay, the most patriotic, the most magnetic and electrifying man of his time, was again just looming up for the Presidency. It was on the 9th day, 1842, I write from memory, because I was there and was so impressed that not only the date, but all the material facts and incidents, including the opening remarks of Mr. Clay, were indelibly fixed upon my memory. The location selected was Maxwell's Spring, an ideal spot, where the unbragging sugar tree prevails, covering lightly a soft and fluffy carpet of blue grass. A few days previous to the time set, and while the preliminaries were being arranged—digging trenches, collecting animals, and other necessities contributed by farmers and others round about—it got out and soon spread, like such things will, that Mr. Berryman, one of the original importers of Durham cattle, had given a heifer that cost him \$150.

The time for the speaking having arrived all was hushed. "Be quiet! Be still!" could be heard throughout the great throng. In a moment not a whisper, not a sound save the rustle of the leaves, caused by the gentle breeze. Even the little birds seemed to take in the situation and suspended their carol, uttering only now and then a subdued chirp. All eyes were eagerly fixed upon the stand, anxious to get the glimpse of the great idol, and to hear his every word. And the horses were on their best behavior—never a neigh. They were, many of them, thoroughbreds, attached to carriages that would compare favorably with any of the

present day. Many ladies and gentlemen, attended by their liveried coachman and servants, remained seated in their vehicles.

Mr. Clay, although sixty-five years of age, was never in better trim. His appearance was greeted by the most whole-hearted and enthusiastic applause. After adjusting his far-seeing spectacles and looking all round at his audience, it seemed, to a hundred yards in every direction, he, in his commonplace and bonhomie style, said:

"I know all you people didn't come here to hear me speak to-day. I know what you came for. (He was not particular about his grammar.) You came for a taste of my friend Berryman's \$150 heifer—that's what you came for."

The rest was lost—no stenographer.

W. LEE WHITE.

Washington, D. C.

A Plea for the Zoo Bears.

Editor The Washington Herald:

In a visit to the animals of the Zoo one of these hot days I noted the evident distress of the bears especially. The lions, tigers, camels, and buffalo had yards in which they could move about at times under the open sky, but the bears, at least most of them, are confined in iron cages perpetually. True, they have bathing tanks, but no opportunity to move about, except in the limited circles of their cages.

One magnificent grizzly, Latinized "horridus," so impressed his long agony of years in such confinement upon me that I am impelled to ask your attention to the matter of immediate relief by the building of yards for these prisoners. Theodore Parker admired and loved bears. His pet name for his wife was "Bearie," and everywhere about his study were pictures of these, his favorite wild animals. Now, Mr. Parker was a man of strong intellect, as well as of science, and his admiration was based not upon fancy merely, but on acquaintance. Something in the bear compelled his homage, or he would not have given it.

I noted the perpetual restless motion of these grand old creatures in the wildwood, and heard his suppressed moans of torment. His toes were bare to the bone for several inches. As he moved incessantly, the rattle of the bones of his fingers on the hard floor of his cage fell on my ears in constant, but for some thirteen years never touching the earth, his native element, all to gratify the curiosity of visiting people! To have shot him dead at once would not have been a fitful of the cruelty of such close and inhuman confinement. Think what it means to suffer so much as to shrivel and shrink away the flesh from the bones of the feet and hands. Ate mental distress does sharpen the fingers to a sensitiveness which is very quick to detect any roughness in the soil-suffering of a mite in the cage so long and so deep as that endured by this great bear?

I do most earnestly beg those in authority over such grand helplessness, imprisoned for our pleasure (?), to mitigate the suffering soon by giving these bears the freedom of a yard and daily opportunity to move about within it.

Every bear in these cages looked wretched, and to "beautify" Washington, in my mind, means to relieve its distress first of all things. My husband, J. L. Dudley, said: "In the next world bears will be on the box and man pulling the loads as the sure compensation for inhumanity."

MARION V. CHERCHILL DUDLEY.

Washington, D. C.

To Prevent Wrecks.

Editor The Washington Herald:

I notice in a recent issue of your paper the following:

"Some of these days there ought to be a revolution in railroad equipment, but the outlook is that the public will continue to pay its good money without redress."

It seems strange that such a statement should be printed at the seat of the government, which is able to effect the necessary revolution spoken of. The cause of a very large number of railroad wrecks is that the wheels are fixed rigidly to the axle on locomotives and cars. As both the wheels on each axle are supposed to be of the same diameter, they will, of course, travel in a straight line. When they come to a curve the natural consequence is that the wheels on the outside run against the outside rail of the curve, and in many cases climb up on the rails. Then there is an accident. Such accidents could be prevented if the railroads would adopt, in the place of the old-fashioned axle and wheels, which have been used since the first railroads were opened, wheels loose upon an axle, which, in turn, is also left free to revolve in the axle boxes. The adoption of such an appliance would not only be a safeguard to human life, but millions of dollars. Many reports of trains being wrecked by train wreckers, broken rails, &c., are only to distract public attention from the positive cause which I have outlined. The press should keep the public fully informed in the premises, and if the railroads will not act of their own volition, the government should compel them to do so.

I am the inventor and patentee of an arrangement of wheels and axles of the kind referred to above, which I will be glad to describe or demonstrate to anyone interested. On every other kind of vehicle except railroad cars and locomotives, wheels are loose and free—even on the fastest automobiles, which are now running at a greater speed than any railroad, and which can turn freely on very sharp curves without accident, which never occur if the wheels are fast on the axle.

THOMAS R. LAMBERT.

Batte, Mont.

Frequent Elections and Succession.

Editor The Washington Herald:

The nature of a government has an important influence in forming the character of a people. A country circumstanced as ours, with its restless activity, constantly increasing in wealth, gaining in power among nations, abounding with all that is progressive in business endeavor, the enterprise of the age, and social life, may so take up the attention of the people that they may neglect the claims of government upon them as citizens, and thus endanger the life and permanence of our institutions. The great plan of the past was to divide the duties of government among as many citizens as possible, as a matter of prudence and safety. Abandoning the precepts of our fathers, to-day our country is menaced by another theory, of government by a few, and longer periods of holding office without intervening elections.

It is admitted that elections educate the people and are worth more as educators than all they cost; yet prominent public men are found advocating a longer term for re-election and favoring ineligibility for re-election. The extension of the Presidential term is in the direction of a hereditary or life service, magistracy, and even monarchy. It will not stop until fully accomplished. Ineligibility in this connection implies distrust, and may operate as a restraint on the will of the people, and, if adopted, will prevent their approval of faithful

and efficient Executive. Our Constitution is for the guidance of a free people. It permits them to select a public officer as many consecutive times as they see fit. Experience seems to indicate best for the public welfare. Any limitation of this right would infringe upon individual sovereignty, and not comport with its principles. This freedom of action is expedient, wise, and proper. It prescribes no limit and can do no harm, as it depends wholly on the public will. Nations, like individuals, must assert and not abjure their rights, if they would retain their freedom.

Our constantly increasing native-born population, and the cultivated, as well as illiterate, coming from other countries, make, on educational grounds, the necessity of frequent elections, that the citizen learn from practical demonstration our political system. They must be educated in the principles of freedom by constant observation and active experience.

What the District of Columbia needs is representation in Congress, which makes its laws and directs its affairs, and participation in the electoral college; then the people resident at the American capital will stand equal nationally in people and rights and privileges with the people of the entire nation.

APPLETON PRENTISS CLARK.

119 Sixth street northeast,

Washington, D. C.

The Marine Band's Programmes.

Editor The Washington Herald:

In your issue of Sunday, June 15, there is a criticism of the programme given the preceding afternoon by the Marine Band in the White House grounds. Some exception is taken to the classical programme given by Lieut. Santelmann, and the question is asked why more numbers of lighter vein do not appear. It would seem to me that all those who are interested in music as an art in our city should be especially grateful to Lieut. Santelmann for keeping up a programme of the Marine Band is doing a great service and is keeping it high.

For the masses to listen often to such concerts will gradually help to create a taste for what is superficial, and, worse, for what is entirely unrefined, and is dangerously attractive for these reasons, but it is, indeed, the music of the street. The true art does not stand for such things. All honest art, however, is dangerous to the masses, and it is in opposition to what most people want, gives them what they ought to have.

OTTO T. SIMON.

179 P street northwest, Washington, D. C.

The Co-operative Guild.

Editor The Washington Herald:

The press of Washington has given generous notice to the co-operative movement recently started by government employees in this city. The movement is a most timely one. The prices of the necessities of life are steadily advancing. To overcome this handicap, either salaries should be increased, or the same salaries must, somehow, be made to go farther. While waiting until Congress acts it is to raise departmental salaries, as it has already raised the salaries of its own members, the Department of the Interior, the Guild proposes to try the other method, by uniting to obtain the necessities and comforts of life at a minimum cost.

The outlook for distributive co-operation in this city is encouraging:

1. Because government employees have the necessary leisure and intelligence to appreciate the advantages of the system and to assist in its development.

2. Because the system appeals to the brotherly motive as well as to the motive of self-interest.

3. Because the guild is being planned on lines of democracy and genuine co-operation. It will not be a joint stock company of the usual type, controlled by an inner clique, ring, or machine. Every member will be expected to take an active part in electing the officers and determining the policies of the guild. Active co-operation always generates enthusiasm and progress.

4. Because it is planned on lines of efficiency. All details of management will be left to a compact executive board, and every effort will be made to secure a competent business manager for the stores.

5. Because the system has succeeded elsewhere under similar conditions. The English civil service stores, built up by a large business, have greater success against the American civil service employees when they get a grip on the situation.

W. D. MACKENZIE.

21 T street northwest, Washington, D. C.

New Jersey Women.

Editor The Washington Herald:

"It is somewhat surprising to learn that the insect (the mosquito) here (in New Jersey) owes its fame chiefly to the circumstance that it is the female species which is the more dangerous enemy of the clan, she being thoroughly endowed with those athletic traits now known to mark Jewish femininity in every walk of life."—The Washington Herald, June 15.

New Jersey women, as a rule, are doing the best they can to fulfill their mission, and if they fail, fall honestly. If they make mistakes, they say their prayers at night, and their defects are due to the fact that they are not "our women." The women of New Jersey are to keep out of the marauds and keep to the uplands. The women of New Jersey have the proper curve to the nostril, and in the eye and lip the signature of Divine approval.

The New Jersey women are generous to their friends and just to their enemies. The New Jersey women "looketh well to the ways of her household." They also know how to trample down selfishness and live for something more than mere peace and resignation. New Jersey women know the real meaning of joy and gladness. They know that the greatest thing in life is love. New Jersey has the distinction of having and holding one of God's noblest living women—Frances Cleveland. All New Jersey women breathe their sweetest benediction on her. May her life be replete with peace and happiness.

Come back, after many years, in full astral body and see your grandsons running over New Jersey women. New Jersey Abigails! strong and brave, giving birth to sons who were the first to the front to defend the flag. New Jersey—honor!

The New Jersey women keep their youth and strength because they are loving and big hearted. The New Jersey women remember the prayers that have been taught them and run down through generations after generation of noble ancestors. New Jersey women are filled with a pride and purpose; good, fresh, clean blood flows in their veins; hope and optimism are branded on their foreheads. Love and humanity in their hearts. Go to New Jersey and you will find the "fields of God's planting." You will find women who know the meaning of fidelity and courage. Wander along the banks

of the silvery Delaware and you will find the sweetest of flowers, the bluest of skies, and a sweet-smelling soil that will give width to your mind and strength to your body. Bathe your restless spirit in the freedom of the old New Jersey waters; grow a child again. Steep your soul in the perfume of the flowers, and drink from the sparkling brook to the New Jersey woman, who are "not molly-coddles," but Abigails; who love this whole country and believe in it, but who are not going to be "hitched and not kick."

ALICE SHARPE BAILEY.

The Majestic, Washington, D. C.

What's the Answer?

Editor The Washington Herald:

Man is performing a function in the world. Our relation to the spiritual world is like our relation to the physical. Being inhabitants of these two worlds at the same time, anything that we do constitutes a humanism. People first get a geocentric idea. The earth to them had a flat surface, and it looks flat to us still. Why not live on with the old idea of a flat earth? What difference does it make to one's object in life? This much at least: If the idea of a flat earth serves the object in life as well as the idea of a round one, then error will suit as well as truth. According to the rule, "By their fruits ye shall know them," man's showing in the spiritual world ought to be like his earthly conduct. It is, denunciations are Mediterranean seas in the spiritual world. No matter where a man drops a circle he leaves infinitely more outside of it than he includes within its margin.

What has the genus homo done that we may know them by their fruits? Only one thing: They have been killing the present one. There is only one idea about which the members of the genus homo have been unanimous and upon which they acted, namely, that the earth is round, and not flat, as was previously supposed. That is the greatest movement that the world has ever seen. It shows that man has the power to move. They will move again.

R. G. SOMERVILLE.

Washington, D. C.

A Few Words on Roosevelt.

Editor The Washington Herald:

So much has been said about President Roosevelt that I would like to give a few views which I hope may prove interesting, if not convincing.

Is there a man in America who would have the hardihood, or so little regard for the truth, as to call President Roosevelt a coward? Yet he has said that he cannot accept another term; that public sentiment would be against it. Did he waver and retreat at San Juan Hill? He did not. He was shooting at them, or because they might have said he did not have his glasses on straight?

No. And we are to suppose that he will desert us now in these days of the trust evil, that great octopus that is sapping the life-blood out of our country; that is warping the energies and destroying the freedom of action that we hold so dear? When the voice of despair cries, "Where may the weary eye repose," let us look with perfect confidence upon his unblemished integrity, with the full assurance that he will not be shaken to the center of his hold by the "spectacular" wealth of the trusts. Another term will mean ruin to their method of building up colossal fortunes of poor man's gold, and making princely endowments to institutions that will never be worth a cent to real objects of charity. They know that another term will give him an opportunity to prove that though God's mills "grind slowly," yet they grind exceedingly small. Yet on every side we hear complaints and criticisms.

Is that fair to say? Just. Did the countrymen of Solon and Pericles, Caesar and Charlemagne, inhibit their lives with threats and denunciations, to bury them with weeping and heroic honors? Not that has been left for the American people, who know that another term will mean ruin to their method of building up colossal fortunes of poor man's gold, and making princely endowments to institutions that will never be worth a cent to real objects of charity. They know that another term will give him an opportunity to prove that though God's mills "grind slowly," yet they grind exceedingly small. Yet on every side we hear complaints and criticisms.

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

Supposing that Mr. Bryan has these lofty ideals, these noble aspirations, and these grand policies. Does any one accuse him of being a coward? No. He is a man and place him again in the President's chair, then give him our co-operation and our support in carrying out those measures that have made him illustrious and have been a blessing to 50,000,000 of American citizens?

the District of Columbia is just four years, a truth well worthy of being remembered.

But the most terrible rebuke to the professedly Christian and moral portion of the community is to be found in the fact that while we are building extravagant churches and residences, dressing and feasting sumptuously, and heaping up worldly treasures, perhaps making an ostentatious display of our benevolence by public collections for the "poor," Dr. S. and so and the poor heathen, a large number of these public prostitutes, to say nothing of private mistresses (the latter no doubt in four figures) come from our very midst. No systematic effort is made to rid the city of this evil. The police are of the stern sort, or the gentle sort whom we adore, honor, and respect, won't you do your little part in stamping out Washington's greatest curse, the social evil?

M. MACGREGOR CAMPBELL.

1712 Fifteenth street, Washington, D. C.

A Negro on Brownsville.